

Note

Maximum Non-path-connected Graphs

GEORGE R. T. HENDRY

Aberdeen University, Aberdeen, Scotland

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received September 19, 1983

Let n and i be integers with $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Lewin (*J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 25 (1978), 245–257) has determined the smallest size of a connected n -graph without end vertices which ensures the existence of a path of length i between every pair of distinct vertices of the graph. Here all the connected n -graphs without end vertices of maximum size are found which fail to have a path of length i between every pair of distinct vertices. © 1984 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Graph-theoretic terminology follows that of references [1] and [4]. In particular: $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote, respectively, the vertex set and edge set of the graph G ; $|V(G)|$ is the *order* of G and $|E(G)|$ is the *size* of G ; an n -graph is a graph of order n and an (n, q) -graph is an n -graph of size q ; if $v \in V(G)$ then denote by $N(v)$ the set of vertices adjacent to v ; $|N(v)|$ is the *degree* of v , denoted $\deg v$; the *minimum vertex degree* in G is denoted $\delta(G)$; if $v \in V(G)$ then $G-v$ is the graph obtained from G by deleting v and its incident edges. We also use the following notation: for $m, n \geq 2$, $K_m \cdot K_n$ is the graph of order $m+n-1$ consisting of a copy of K_m and a copy of K_n with exactly one vertex in common; for $m, n \geq 3$, $K_m | K_n$ is the graph of order $m+n-2$ consisting of a copy of K_m and a copy of K_n with exactly two vertices in common; the greatest integer function is denoted $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$; following [5] we define $n_j = \binom{n-j}{2}$ for integer $j \geq 0$.

A graph G is called *hamiltonian-connected* if there is a hamiltonian path between every pair of distinct vertices of G . This concept was generalized in [3] to that of path-connectedness: if G is an n -graph and i is an integer with $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, then G is P_i -connected (or P_i holds in G) if there is a path of length i between every pair of distinct vertices of G . If there is a $u-v$ path of length i in G , then we will say that $P_i(u, v)$ holds in G .

The first theorem is due to Ore [6, Theorems 4.1 and 5.1].

THEOREM A. *Let G be an (n, q) -graph with $n \geq 4$ and $q \geq n_1 + 2$. If G is not hamiltonian-connected then $q = n_1 + 2$ and $G = K_3 \mid K_{n-1}$ or $K_3 + \bar{K}_3$.*

It is natural to ask for analogous results relating to path-connectedness. However, a graph of order $n \geq 4$ which is either disconnected or has an end vertex cannot be P_i -connected for any i . Therefore we will restrict our attention to connected graphs without end vertices and will call such graphs *admissible*.

Let n and i be integers with $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $m(n, i)$ denote the smallest integer such that if G is an admissible (n, q) -graph with $q \geq m(n, i)$ then G is P_i -connected. Let $l(n, i) = m(n, i) - 1$ and let $L(n, i)$ denote the (nonempty) set of admissible $(n, l(n, i))$ -graphs which are not P_i -connected. We can now regard Theorem A as determining $m(n, n-1)$ and $L(n, n-1)$.

Lewin has found all other values of $m(n, i)$ and we refer the reader to Table I of [5] for these values. He also supplies at least one member of $L(n, i)$ for each n and i within the relevant boundaries. Our object here is to find all the graphs in $L(n, i)$ for $n \geq 4$, $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Other extremal problems on path-connectedness have been considered by Enomoto and Usami [2, 7, 8].

2. RESULTS

THEOREM 1. *For $n \geq 4$,*

$$L(n, 2) = \{(K_1 \cup K_k) + (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-2}) : 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $G \in L(n, 2)$ and that $P_2(u, v)$ does not hold in G where, without loss of generality, $\deg u \geq \deg v = k+1 \geq 2$. Thus $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$, $\deg u + \deg v \leq n$ and $k \leq \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor$. We now have

$$n_1 + 1 = l(n, 2) = |E(G)| \leq (n + (n-2)(n-2))/2 = n_1 + 1. \quad (1)$$

Equality must hold throughout (1) so that $\deg u + \deg v = n$, $uv \in E(G)$, $G - u - v = K_{n-2}$, and every vertex in $G - u - v$ is adjacent to exactly one of u and v . Hence $G = (K_1 \cup K_k) + (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-2})$ and the result follows since this graph belongs to $L(n, 2)$ [5, p. 247]. ■

THEOREM 2. *For $n \geq 5$,*

$$L(n, 3) = \{\bar{K}_2 + (K_2 \cup K_{n-4}), \bar{K}_3 + (K_2 \cup K_{n-5})\},$$

where $K_2 \cup K_0 = K_2$.

Proof. It is easily checked that these graphs (the first of which was given by Lewin) belong to $L(n, 3)$. Conversely suppose $G \in L(n, 3)$ and that

$P_3(u, v)$ does not hold in G . Let N'_u, N'_v, N'_{uv} denote the sets of vertices in $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ which are adjacent to u but not v , v but not u , both u and v , respectively. Let $|N'_u| = r$, $|N'_v| = s$, and $|N'_{uv}| = t$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 2$ we have $r + t \geq 1$ and $s + t \geq 1$. Since $P_3(u, v)$ does not hold in G , there can be no edge in $G - u - v$ connecting a neighbor of u to a neighbor of v . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n_2 + 4 = l(n, 3) &= |E(G)| \\ &\leq 1 + r + s + 2t + n_2 - rs - rt - st - t_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The expression on the right-hand side of (2) has a maximum value of $n_2 + 4$ which is attained if and only if $r = s = 0$ and $t = 2$ or 3 . It follows that G is one of the required graphs. ■

THEOREM 3. (a) $L(7, 5) = \{K_4 | K_5, K_3 + \bar{K}_4\}$.

(b) $L(9, 7) = \{K_4 | K_7, K_4 + \bar{K}_5\}$.

(c) For $n \geq 6$, $n \neq 7$ or 9 , $L(n, n-2) = \{K_4 | K_{n-2}\}$.

THEOREM 4. (a) $L(7, 4) = \{K_3 \cdot K_5, K_2 + (2K_2 \cup K_1)\}$.

(b) $L(8, 5) = \{K_5 | K_5\}$.

(c) $L(9, 6) = \{K_3 \cdot K_7, K_5 | K_6\}$.

(d) For $n \geq 8$, $4 \leq i \leq n-3$, $(n, i) \neq (8, 5)$ or $(9, 6)$, we have $L(n, i) = \{K_3 \cdot K_{n-2}\}$.

The proofs of these results run along similar lines and so, for the sake of brevity, we will only give the details for Theorem 4. To facilitate this, we make a simple observation.

LEMMA 1. If P_{i-1} and P_i hold in $G - v$ and $\deg_G v \geq 2$ then P_i holds in G .

Proof of Theorem 4. The sets $L(n, n-3)$, $7 \leq n \leq 12$, can be determined by ad hoc arguments and so we eliminate them from future consideration. In each remaining case $l(n, i) = n_2 + 3$ and $K_3 \cdot K_{n-2} \in L(n, i)$ [5, p. 254].

Conversely, suppose $G \in L(n, i)$ and that $P_i(u, v)$ does not hold in G , with $\deg u \geq \deg v$. Also suppose that $L(m, j)$ is known for all values of m and j such that $m \leq n$, $j \leq i$, and $m + j < n + i$.

If G contains two vertices, y and z say, of degree two then $G - y - z = K_{n-2}$ or $K_{n-2} - e$ and it is easy to see that P_i holds in G unless $G = K_3 \cdot K_{n-2}$. Henceforth we assume that G has at most one vertex of degree two. We will now derive a contradiction, from which the desired result follows. Let x be a vertex of minimum degree in G .

Case 1. Suppose $i = 4$. If $\delta(G) \leq n - 4$ then $G - x$ is an admissible

$(n-1)$ -graph with at least $(n-1)_2 + 4$ edges. Therefore P_4 holds in $G-x$. By Lemma 1, P_3 does not hold in $G-x$ and therefore $|E(G-x)| = (n-1)_2 + 4$ and $\delta(G) = \deg x = n-4$. If every vertex of $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ has degree $\geq n-3$ in G then, since $n \geq 8$, we have

$$|E(G)| \geq (2(n-4) + (n-2)(n-3))/2 > n_2 + 3 = |E(G)|, \quad (3)$$

which is a contradiction. We may therefore assume that $x \notin \{u, v\}$. But now, since P_4 holds in $G-x$, $P_4(u, v)$ holds in G . This contradiction implies that $\delta(G) \geq n-3$, which produces a contradiction as in (3).

Case 2. Suppose $5 \leq i \leq n-4$. If $\delta(G) \leq n-4$ then $G-x$ is an admissible $(n-1)$ -graph with at least $(n-1)_2 + 4$ edges. Therefore P_{i-1} and P_i hold in $G-x$ and, by Lemma 1, P_i holds in G with one possible exception when $(n, i) = (9, 5)$, $G-x = K_5 | K_5$, and $\delta(G) = \deg x = n-4 = 5$. However, it is easy to see that G is also P_5 -connected in this case, a contradiction. Therefore $\delta(G) \geq n-3$, which leads to a contradiction as in Case 1.

Case 3. Suppose $i = n-3$ with $n \geq 13$. If $\delta(G) \leq n-6$ then $G-x$ is an admissible $(n-1)$ -graph with at least $(n-1)_2 + 6$ edges, in which case P_{n-3} and P_{n-4} hold in $G-x$ and, by Lemma 1, P_{n-3} holds in G , a contradiction. If $\delta(G) \geq n-4$ then, since $n \geq 13$, we have $|E(G)| \geq n(n-4)/2 > n_2 + 3 = |E(G)|$, a contradiction. Therefore $\delta(G) = n-5$ and $G-x$ is an admissible $(n-1, (n-1)_2 + 5)$ -graph. Therefore P_{n-4} holds in $G-x$. By Lemma 1, P_{n-3} does not hold in $G-x$ and so, by Theorem 3(c), $G-x = K_4 | K_{n-3}$. But now $8 \leq n-5 = \delta(G) \leq 1 + \delta(G-x) = 4$, a final contradiction. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is greatly indebted to Professor Lewin and to the referees for suggesting many improvements in presentation.

REFERENCES

1. M. BEHZAD, G. CHARTRAND, AND L. LESNIAK-FOSTER, "Graphs and Digraphs," Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, Mass., 1979.
2. H. ENOMOTO AND Y. USAMI, Minimum number of edges in P_3 -connected graphs, *Utilitas Math.* **20** (1981), 117-141.
3. R. J. FAUDREE, C. C. ROUSSEAU, AND R. H. SCHELP, Theory of path length distribution I. *Discrete Math.* **6** (1973), 35-52.
4. F. HARARY, "Graph Theory," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.

5. M. LEWIN, On path connected graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **25** (1978), 245–257.
6. O. ORE, Hamilton-connected graphs, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **42** (1963), 21–27.
7. Y. USAMI, Minimum number of edges in graphs that are both P_2 - and P_I -connected, *Discrete Math.* **44** (1983), 195–199.
8. Y. USAMI, Extremal P_3 -connected graphs, *Utilitas Math.* **23** (1983), 23–73.